

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,563	03/31/2001	Carolyn Ramsey Catan	US010154***	6354	
24737 7	737 7590 08/11/2005		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			SIDDIQI, MO	SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A	
	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		PAPER NUMBER	
			2154		
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Gibec later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declarat	6						
Examiner Mohammad A. Siddiqi 2154		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Mohammad A. Siddiqi 2154 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estanciance of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.134(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied alter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, he maximum stationy period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, he maximum stationy period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by this Office later than three mentity (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, he maximum stationy period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by this Office later than three mentits after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flied, may reduce any search patient, and the search of the	Office Action Comments		CATAN, CAROLYN RAMSEY				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be waitable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed alter SIx (p) MOTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum stutionsy period will apply and will expire SIX (p) MOTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stutionsy period will apply and will expire SIX (p) MOTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply is specified above, the maximum stutionsy period will apply and will expire SIX (p) MOTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flied, may reduce stry Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2004. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 40 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 □ Acknowledgment is made o	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after \$1 X, 60 MoNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is Secondered above, the manimum statistical yeards will apply and will expire SIX (6) MoNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is Secondered above, the manimum statistical years of the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is Secondered above, the manimum statistical years of the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is Secondered above, the manimum statistical years of the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if kinety filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1)							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CRR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is apposited above is the stan thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is apposited above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will exprise SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pallure to reply within the statutory period will apply and will exprise SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pallure to reply within the statutory after the mailing date of this communication. Pallure to reply within the statutory after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 18 October 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are are subjected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Ac	Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) ::s/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) ::s/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) ::s/are objected to. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on ::s/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply body within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS five, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 C	October 2004.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	/ <u></u>						
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	١.					
6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	·						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
Attach mont/a)	Attachmont/s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	any (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Pager No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office	S. Patent and Trademark Office		Port of Paner No (Mail Pate 2007)				

Application/Control Number: 09/823,563 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-17 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gazdzinski et al. (6,615,175) (hereinafter Gazdzinski) in view of "Official Notice".
- 4. As per claims 1, 6, and 13, Gazdzinski discloses a system for identifying and selecting at least one data resource in a data store, said system comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/823,563

Art Unit: 2154

an machine-readable reader system (col 4, lines 5-20) with a user interface for reading MRL data from an MRL (MRL is interpreted as RFID tag and reader system, col 4, lines 5-20; col 7, lines 27-29);

a resource base having resource (internet or intranet, col 10, lines 23-59); and

at least one processor connected to said MRL reader for receiving MRL data from said MRL, and for controlling and receiving data from said user interface (col 3, lines 19-43, col 4, lines 5-20); said

said at least one processor being programmed to generate a query for use in searching said resource base responsively to said MRL data (col 7, lines 27-29, col 3, lines 19-43, col 4, lines 5-20);

said at least one processor being programmed to generate a query to identify at least one resource matching said query and determine a confidence (col 9, lines 50-54) level of said matching (col 9, lines 25-54); and

said at least one processor being programmed such that when said confidence level (col 9, lines 50-55) is lower than a predetermined (col 9, line 10) confidence level (col 9, lines 45-65), said at least one processor receives input from said user interface defining a new resource and stores (col 6, line 25) said new resource in said resource base or another resource

base (col 9, lines 45-65; col 21, lines 62-67, appended on the library entry for the first word).

Although Gazdzinski shows substantial features of the claimed invention including reader system, RFID tag, and internet/intranet accessible information base. Gazdzinski does not explicitly teach a machine-readable label (MRL), user interface for reading MRL data from an MRL. "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing RFID tag/Smart technology based device can provides scanning from greater distances than bar code scanning (support for the official notice can be found in the back ground of the specification of the instant application, page 2). It would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Gazdzinski to use RFID tag system interface and process information from remotely stored resource base.

- 5. As per claims 2 and 7, Gazdzinski discloses wherein said MRL includes a radio transponder or transmitter (col 5, lines 60-63, col 3, lines 19-43, col 4,lines 5-20).
- 6. As per claims 3 and 8, Gazdzinski discloses said at least one processor is programmed such that when said confidence level is higher than said predetermined confidence level, said at least one processor receives input

Application/Control Number: 09/823,563 Page 5

Art Unit: 2154

from said user interface indicating a desirability of said at least one resource to said user and to update a preference data store responsively to said input (col 9, lines 45-65).

- 7. As per claim 4, Gazdzinski discloses wherein said at least one processor is programmed such that when said confidence level is lower than said predetermined confidence level, said at least one processor identifies a resource not matching said query by substituting a term in said query that identifies one of an object associated with said reader, an object associated with an MRL, or another term and searches responsively to said query for a resource and, upon finding said resource, generates an output responsive thereto (col 3, lines 19-43, col 4, lines 5-20, col 9, lines 45-67, col 10, lines 1-12).
- 8. As per claims 5 and 10, Gazdzinski discloses wherein said term is a term characterizing said object associated with said reader (fig 15, col 20, lines 9-42).
- 9. As per claim 9, Gazdzinski discloses wherein said reader is programmed such that when said confidence level is lower than said

predetermined confidence level, said at least one processor receives input from said user

interface defining a new resource and stores said new resource in said resource base or another resource base (col 9, lines 22-65).

- 10. As per claim 11, Gazdzinski discloses wherein said MRL reader is programmed such that when said confidence level is lower than said predetermined confidence level, said at least one processor identifies a generic resource responsive to said object associated with an reader system (fig 15, col 9, lines 22-65).
- 11. As per claim 12, Gazdzinski discloses, wherein said MRL reader is programmed such that when said confidence level is lower than said predetermined confidence level, said at least one processor receives input from said user interface defining a new resource and stores said new resource in said resource base or another resource base (fig 15, col 9, lines 22-65).
- 12. As per claim 14, Gazdzinski discloses, wherein said message suggests to a user that the user use a different one of an object associated with a

reader and an object associated with an reader system (fig 15, col 20, lines 9-42).

- 13. As per claim 15, Gazdzinski discloses, further comprising the steps of, when said further confidence level is lower than said predetermined level or another predetermined level, identifying a generic response using a third query with fewer terms than said first query or second queries (fig 15, col 9, lines 22-65).
- 14. As per claim 16, Gazdzinski discloses A method of identifying a resource from a machine-readable reader, comprising the steps of:

a machine-readable reader attaching resources from a resource base based on a result of said step of scanning (fig 15, col 9, lines 22-65);

when a result of said step of input indicates a poor match, outputting to a user-interface (col 9, lines 46-65, col 3, lines 19-43, col 4, lines 5-20), a message suggesting to a user to use a different one of said first and second objects (col 9, lines 46-67, col 10, lines 1-12).

Although Gazdzinski shows substantial features of the claimed invention including reader system, RFID tag, and internet/intranet accessible information base. Gazdzinski does not explicitly teach a machine-readable label (MRL). "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages

of providing RFID tag/Smart technology based device can provides scanning from greater distances than bar code scanning. It would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Gazdzinski to use RFID tag system interface and process information from remotely stored resource base.

15. As per claim 17, Gazdzinski discloses a method of identifying a resource from a machine-readable reader, comprising the steps of:

a machine-readable reader associated with a first object with a reader (col 4, lines 5-20) associated with a second object (fig 15, col 20, lines 9 – 42);

matching resources from a resource base based on identifiers corresponding to said first and second objects resulting from said step of scanning (fig 15, col 9, lines 22-65);

when a result of said step of input indicates a poor match, outputting to a user-interface (col 9, lines 46-65, col 3, lines 19-43, col 4, lines 5-20), identifying a resource matching resources from a resource base based on identifiers corresponding to only one of said first and second objects resulting from said step of scanning (col 9, lines 46-67, col 10, lines 1-12).

Although Gazdzinski shows substantial features of the claimed invention including reader system, RFID tag, and internet/intranet accessible

information base. Gazdzinski does not explicitly teach a machine-readable label (MRL). "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing RFID tag/Smart technology based device can provides scanning from greater distances than bar code scanning. It would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Gazdzinski to use RFID tag system interface and process information from remotely stored resource base.

Response to Arguments

- 16. Applicant's arguments filed 10/18/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, therefore rejections to claims 1-17 is maintained.
- 17. In the remarks applicants argued that:

Argument: Gazdzinski does not disclose processor receives input from said user interface defining a new resource and stores said new resource in said resource base or another resource base.

Response: Gazdzinski discloses processor (106, fig 1) receives input (col 9, lines 34-37, subsystem is programmed to allow the user to append the search) from said user interface defining a new resource and stores (108, fig 1, col 6, line 25; col 9, lines 60-65; partial matches are used as the basis for

Application/Control Number: 09/823,563

Art Unit: 2154

the appended search) said new resource in said resource base or another resource base (col 9, lines 45-65; col 21, lines 62-67, appended on the library entry for the first word).

Argument: Gazdzinski does not disclose wherein said term is a term characterizing said object associated with said.

Response: Gazdzinski discloses term is a term characterizing said object associated with said reader (fig 15, col 9, lines 45-65; col 21, lines 62-67, word/term is appended on the library entry for the first word).

Conclusion

18. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad A. Siddiqi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERMSOTT PART EVAMINER
OF FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

MAS